

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,072	03/19/2004	Itaru Fukushima	K-2010DIV2	7053
7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER		
KANESAKA AND TAKEUCH			FERGUSON, MARISSA L	
1423 Powhatan				
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,072	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marissa L Ferguson	2854
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 1) days will be considered timely. 15 from the mailing date of this communication. 15 DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 № 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	·	
Application Papers		•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl ority documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuzawa (US Patent 6,243,157) in view of Funato (US Patent 200210191992).

Regarding claim 1, Tsuzawa teaches an exposing section (52) for exposing the recording medium, first feed means (32,38,68A, 69) arranged in the exposing section for feeding the recording medium (54) while contacting two side edges of the recording medium feed direction of the recording medium (Figure 1), a developing section (located in processor section 72) for pressing the recording medium exposed at the exposing section for development, a recording medium feed path (68B, 68C, 73) arranged between the exposing section and the developing section for feeding the recording medium, a cutting device (71,88) for cutting four edges of the recording medium including the two side edges and an apparatus housing (12) for retaining at least the exposing section, developing section, cutting device and the first feed means.

However, he does not explicitly disclose a second feed means arranged in the recording medium feed path for feeding the recording medium exposed at the exposing section to the developing section while contacting the two side edges of the recording medium and a third feed means arranged between the developing section the cutting device feeding the recording medium developed at the developing section to the cutting device while contacting two side edges the recording medium and casing for housing a second and third feed means therein.

Funato teaches second feed means (23) arranged near the exposure section (222) and feeds the recording medium to the exposure section and development section (223) while contacting edges of the recording and a third feed means (41,61) located between the developing (223) and cutter sections (located in section 12).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention taught by Tsuzawa to include the second and third feed means as taught by Funato, since Funato teaches that it is advantageous to provide image quality that is well maintained in the high image quality while ensuring the productivity in both-side recording mode.

Regarding claim 2, Tsuzawa teaches a path including a recording medium feed path from the exposing section to the cutting device (71) that includes at least one curved portion (Figure 4) and wherein the cutting device is arranged at, at least one curved portion (curve located near blade 71).

Regarding claim 3, Tsuzawa teaches a cutting device (88) arranged at an upper corner of the apparatus housing (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 4, Tsuzawa teaches a developing section (located in section 72) that includes a curved feed path for guiding the recording medium to the cutting device, wherein curved feed path is connected to the curved portion of the recording medium feed path so that the recording medium feed path is formed in a S-shape (Figure 1).

2. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuzawa (US Patent 6,243,157) in view of Funato (US Publication 200210191992) as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Sato et al. (US Patent 5,229,827).

Tsuzawa teaches the invention claimed including a vertical cutting device having a blade capable of moving vertically (71) relative to the recording medium for cutting leading and trailing edges of the recording medium in the feed direction. Funato teaches the invention claimed including a developing section that has a pressure roller (21,22) for recording and feeding a recording medium. However, Tsuzawa and Funato do not explicitly disclose a rotary cutting means having a rotary blade

for cutting the two side edges of the recording medium in the feed direction while rotating and pressing. Sato et al. teaches a rotary cutting means (19,20 and 91) with a rotating shaft (713) for cutting a medium.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to further modify the invention taught by Tsuzawa to include a rotary blade as taught by Sato et al., since Sato et al. teaches that it is advantageous to provide a rotary blade for cutting off hard to reach margins such as opposite longitudinal margins of the recording medium.

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuzawa (US Patent 6,243,157) in view of Funato (US Publication 200210191992) and Sato et al. (US Patent 5,229,827) as applied to claims 5 and 6 above, and further in view of Obertegger (US Publication 200410041991).

Tsuzawa, Funato and Sato et al. teach the invention claimed with the exception a containing section arranged below the cutting device for containing four edges of the recording medium cut by the cutting device so that the two side edges of the recording medium drop in the container.

Obertegger teaches a containing section (46) located below a cutting device (44 and Page 3, Column 2, Paragraph 0031,Lines 9-15).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to further modify the invention taught by Tsuzawa to include a container as taught by Obertegger, since Obertegger teaches that it is advantageous to provide a container for storing excess medium edges.

4. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuzawa (US Patent 6,243,157) in view of Funato (US Publication 200210191992), Sato et al. (US Patent 5,229,827) and Obertegger (US Publication 200410041991) as applied to claim 7 above, further in view of Larson (US Patent 5,307,092).

Tsuzawa, Funato, Sato et al. and Obertegger all teach the invention claimed with the exception of a containing section with an antistatic process formed of conductive material. Larson teaches an image-forming device that has a containing section with antistatic coating formed with electrically conductive materials (Column 4, Claim 9 and Column 5, Claim 10). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to further modify the invention taught by Tsuzawa to include an antistatic container as taught by Larson, since Larson teaches antistatic properties in order to conduct away the tribo charges and provide high quality prints with good readability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa L Ferguson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2163. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-T) 6:30am-4:00pm and every other(F) 7:30am-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Marissa L Ferguson Examiner

Art Unit 2854

MAJ

Daniel J. Colilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Dr. Coll